

**Working Paper No 52/06**

**Advertising and Newspaper Differentiation:  
On the Role of Readers' Advertising Taste**

by  
**Hans Jarle Kind  
Marko Koethenbueger  
Guttorm Schjelderup**

SNF project no 1303

“Konvergens mellom IT, medier og telekommunikasjon:  
Konkurrans- og mediepolitiske utfordringer”

Funded by The Research Council of Norway

CASE - Centre for Advanced Studies in Economics

INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION  
BERGEN, DECEMBER 2006  
ISSN 1503-2140

© Dette eksemplar er fremstilt etter avtale  
med KOPINOR, Stenergate 1, 0050 Oslo.  
Ytterligere eksemplarfremstilling uten avtale  
og i strid med åndsverkloven er straffbart  
og kan medføre erstatningsansvar.

## *CASE – CENTRE FOR ADVANCED STUDIES IN ECONOMICS*

CASE – Centre for Advanced Studies in Economics – is a joint centre for The Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration (NHH), The Institute for Research in Economics and Business Administration (SNF) and The University of Bergen (UiB). Research at CASE includes all types of issues based on economic theory and method, and researchers at the Centre have particular expertise within the areas of international trade, industrial economics, labour market economics, factor mobility, economic integration, industrial policy, international macro economics, tax policy, international transport and maritime research.

### *International Trade and Tax Policy*

Research within this area focuses mainly on issues related to international trade and tax policy, and has generally been dominated by projects aiming to provide increased insight into global structural issues and the effect of regional economic integration. The staff have particular expertise within the fields of international real economics (trade, factor mobility, economic integration and industrial policy), international macro economics and international tax policy, but are also actively involved in projects relating to public economics and industrial policy.

### *Industrial Economics*

Industrial economics is another central research area at the Centre, within which SNF has long-standing traditions. A series of individual studies have been carried out through large framework programmes funded by the Research Council of Norway and major Norwegian companies. The focal point of these studies is strategic company behaviour in industries with few players, where competition effects are modelled and analysed. The studies have provided important structural and empirical insights into deregulation effects within industries such as airlines, telecommunications and energy and have provided significant input to Norwegian competition policies. The research group includes a number of researchers at both UiB and NHH and has an extensive international network.

### *Labour Market Economics*

The labour market group focuses on mainly two research areas. One central topic is education and family economics. An important aspect is to analyse the production process for human capital; the importance of family, neighbourhood, preschools and schools for adult education and labour market experience. The other main topic is to analyse firm performance and firm restructuring. These analyses include firm performance, adjustment costs, costs of displacement including health costs, the effect of technological change and international trade, and analysis of organisational change.

### **Human Resources**

CASE is a network-based organisation whose staff consists mainly of professors at Department of Economics at NHH and UiB as well as leading international economists who are affiliated to the centre through long-term relations. Members of the academic staff are among the leading in their fields both nationally and internationally and many have served on important public committees. During the last few years the centre has produced several PhDs and the current staff also includes PhD scholars.

### **Networks**

CASE participates in a Scandinavian Network of Excellence on labour market economics and is involved in a major EU project on international trade. The Centre collaborates with central research and educational institutions all over Europe and has particularly close contact with London School of Economics, University of Glasgow, University of Munich, University of Uppsala, Aarhus Business School, Stockholm School of Economics, The Frisch Centre at University of Oslo and Statistics Norway. The staff members participate in international research networks, including CESifo, CEPR, CEP, IZA and IAME.

# Advertising and Newspaper Differentiation: On the Role of Readers' Advertising Taste

HANS JARLE KIND\*

*Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration and CESifo*

MARKO KOETHENBUERGER

*Center for Economic Studies, University of Munich and CESifo*

GUTTORM SCHJELDERUP

*Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration and CESifo*

December, 2006

## Abstract

Newspapers have an incentive to moderate their profile in order to gain a larger readership and thus higher advertising revenue. We show that this incentive is weakened both if readers are ad-haters and if they are ad-lovers.

**Keywords:** Media, Two-sided Markets, Product Differentiation, Hotelling

**JEL Codes:** D4, D43.

---

\*Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Economics, Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration, Helleveien 30, N-5045 Bergen, Norway. E-mail: Hans.Kind@nhh.no.

# 1 Introduction

It is well known in modern Industrial Organization that in a Hotelling game with price competition, firms will be *maximally* horizontally differentiated in order to reduce the competitive pressure. The press industry, however, derives revenue from both readers and advertising, where the latter depends positively on circulation. This gives each newspaper an incentive to locate close to its rival in order to increase its readership and thus its advertising revenue. As shown by Gabszewicz et al. (2001, 2002), newspapers become *minimally* horizontally differentiated if advertisers' willingness to pay is sufficiently high and readers are *indifferent* to advertising.

The purpose of this note is to analyze how readers' attitude towards advertising affect the profile of competing newspapers. To this end we extend Gabszewicz et al. 2001 by allowing readers to be ad lovers or ad haters.<sup>1</sup> When readers dislike ads, newspapers will have less advertising than what maximizes advertising revenue. Hence, advertising finance has less of an impact as a moderator on each newspaper's profile. It may therefore not be surprising that newspapers become more differentiated in this case. One might be inclined to expect that the opposite would be true when readers are ad lovers. However, our main finding is that the incentive to moderate content is weakened also if readers like advertising. The reason for this is that the newspapers then choose a higher level of advertising than what maximizes advertising receipts. This strengthens the newspapers' incentive to differentiate their profile in the same way as when readers dislike ads.

## 2 Model

Readers can choose between two newspapers, which are located on the Hotelling line. The location of the newspapers is given by  $\theta_1 = a$  and  $\theta_2 = 1 - b$ , where  $(1 - b) \geq a$ . The newspapers are perfect (horizontal) substitutes if  $(1 - b) = a$ , while they are maximally (horizontally) differentiated if  $a = b = 0$ .

Readers differ with respect to their preference for editorial stance as measured by  $\theta$ ,

---

<sup>1</sup>Both attitudes have been verified empirically. See Sonnac (2000) on newspaper readers' attitudes and Depken II and Wilson, (2004) for attitudes among magazine readers.

which is uniformly distributed on the unit-interval. The utility of a  $\theta$ -type reader who consumes newspaper  $i = 1, 2$  equals

$$u_i = v - t(\theta_i - \theta)^2 - p_i - \gamma a_i, \quad (1)$$

where  $p_i$  is the price readers pay per copy of newspaper  $i$ , and  $a_i$  is the advertising volume. The readers suffer a utility loss when the newspaper's editorial content  $\theta_i$  differs from their most preferred profile ( $\theta$ ), and the utility loss is given by the quadratic function  $t(\theta_i - \theta)^2$ ,  $t > 0$ . Readers dislike advertisements when  $\gamma > 0$ , whilst they appreciate them when  $\gamma < 0$ . As such  $p_i + \gamma a_i$  can be interpreted as the hedonic price readers pay per newspaper. The parameter  $v > 0$  is assumed to be sufficiently large to ensure market coverage (such that each consumer buys one newspaper).

Let  $n_i$  be the number of readers of newspaper  $i$ . We express the number of readers of newspaper  $i$  in terms of the utility they derive from reading that newspaper. Therefore  $n_i$  is a non-decreasing function of  $u_i$  and defined as

$$n_i = \phi(u_i),$$

where  $\partial\phi/\partial u_i \geq 0$ .

Advertisers benefit from informing readers about the existence and characteristics of their product, and the net benefit for a firm of type  $\alpha$  from advertising in newspaper  $i$  is

$$B_i = \alpha n_i - s_i, \quad (2)$$

where  $s_i$  is the price for an ad in newspaper  $i$ . We assume that the advertisers are price takers, and that  $\alpha$  is distributed on  $[0, 1]$  with density  $4k$ . The induced demand for advertising is then<sup>2</sup>

$$a_i = 4k(1 - s_i/n_i). \quad (3)$$

The marginal cost for the newspaper of inserting an ad is normalized to zero, while the marginal cost of printing and distributing a newspaper copy is  $c \geq 0$ . This means that the profit level of newspaper  $i$  in terms of utilities equals

$$\pi_i = s_i a_i + n_i(p_i - c) = s_i a_i + \phi(u_i)(p_i - c). \quad (4)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>The platform has a monopoly power over its readers as an advertiser can only contact a potential customer who reads newspaper  $i$  by placing an advert in that newspaper. Thus each newspaper firm is a competitive bottleneck (see Armstrong 2006).

We consider a game where the newspapers simultaneously and non-cooperatively choose their ideological stance at stage 1. At stage 2 each newspaper maximizes profit with respect to utility, as in Armstrong (2006), while they select advertising prices at stage 3. We focus on subgame-perfect equilibria which exhibit positive newspaper prices.

At stage 3 each newspaper maximizes profits with respect to  $s_i$  keeping readers' utility  $u_i$  constant. At an interior solution, the first-order condition is

$$\left( a_i + s_i \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial s_i} \right) + \phi(u_i) \left. \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial s_i} \right|_{du_i=0} = 0. \quad (5)$$

From equations (1) and (3) it follows that  $\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial s_i} = \frac{4k}{\phi_i}$  and  $\left. \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial s_i} \right|_{du_i=0} = \frac{\gamma 4k}{\phi_i}$ , so that the third-stage equilibrium advertising price and the associated amount of advertising are


$$s_i = (1 + \gamma) \frac{\phi_i}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad a_i = 2k(1 - \gamma). \quad (6)$$

To ensure that the non-negativity constraint on the advertising price and the amount of advertising are not binding, we impose  $|\gamma| < 1$  throughout. Total advertising revenue for newspaper  $i$  is given by

$$\omega_i = s_i a_i = \tilde{k} \phi_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{k} = k(1 - \gamma^2). \quad (7)$$

From equation (7) we see that optimal advertising revenue is increasing in the size of the audience ( $\phi_i = n_i$ ), and that optimal per-reader advertising revenue  $\tilde{k}$  is decreasing in  $|\gamma|$ . It is also evident from equation (7) that advertising revenue ( $\omega_i$ ) is maximized if consumers are indifferent to ads ( $\gamma = 0$ ). Hence, there is a humped-shaped relationship between  $\gamma$  and  $\omega_i$  with a peak at  $\gamma = 0$ .

The humped-shaped relationship is illustrated in Figure 1. If the audience is ad-averse ( $\gamma > 0$ ), it is optimal for each newspaper to choose a relatively low advertising level in order to attract readers (confer (6)). Although the newspaper charges a higher advertising price than when  $\gamma = 0$ , total advertising revenue is lower. If readers like advertising ( $\gamma < 0$ ) it is optimal for the newspaper to have a higher level of advertising (and charge a lower advertising price) than the quantity which maximizes advertising revenue.



**Figure 1:** *Advertising revenues.*

At stage 2 newspaper  $i$  maximizes profit with respect to  $u_i$ , taking into account how advertising levels will be affected at stage 3. Formally, it solves  $u_i = \arg \max \pi_i$ , where

$$\pi_i(u_i, u_j) = \tilde{k}\phi_i(u_i) + \phi_i(u_i)(p_i - c); \quad i \neq j. \quad (8)$$

The willingness to pay for newspaper 1 is greater than for newspaper 2 for all consumers satisfying

$$u_1 - t(a - \theta)^2 - \gamma a_1 > u_2 - t(1 - b - \theta)^2 - \gamma a_2.$$

Using that  $a_1 = a_2$  we thus find that demand for the two newspapers is given by

$$\phi_1 = a + \frac{u_1 - u_2}{2t(1 - a - b)} + \frac{1 - a - b}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2 = 1 - b + \frac{u_2 - u_1}{2t(1 - a - b)} + \frac{1 - a - b}{2}, \quad (9)$$

where the demand functions resemble standard Hotelling demand functions, except that they are expressed in terms of utilities instead of prices.

At the first stage the newspapers maximize (8) subject to (9), and it can be shown that there exists an equilibrium with full differentiation if and only if  $\tilde{k} < c + t/2$ .<sup>3</sup> An

<sup>3</sup>We omit the details of the computations and refer the reader to Gabszewicz et al. (2001) and, in

important implication is that, since  $\tilde{k}$  is decreasing in  $|\gamma|$  (see above), a full differentiation equilibrium will more likely emerge the more strongly readers (dis)like advertising:

**Proposition 1** *Newspapers are more likely to locate at the extremes of the profile spectrum the stronger the readers' attitude towards advertising, i.e. the larger  $|\gamma|$ .*

Behind this result is the fact that advertising revenues are lower the more readers care (positively or negatively) about the level of advertising in newspapers (confer Figure 1). Each newspaper therefore faces less of an incentive to moderate its profile in order to be an attractive media outlet for advertisers.

## References

- Armstrong, M., Competition in Two-Sided Markets, *Rand Journal of Economics*, (2006) forthcoming.
- Depken II, C. A. and D. P. Wilson, Is Advertising Good or Bad? Evidence from U.S. Magazine Subscriptions, *Journal of Business* 77 (2004) S61-S80.
- Gabszewicz, J.J., D. Laussel, and N. Sonnac, Press Advertising and the Ascent of the "Pensée Unique", *European Economic Review* 45 (2001) 641-651.
- Gabszewicz, J.J., D. Laussel, and N. Sonnac, Press Advertising and the Political Differentiation of Newspapers, *Journal of Public Economic Theory* 4 (2002) 317-334.
- Sonnac, N., Readers' Attitudes Towards Press Advertising: Are They Ad-Lovers or Ad-Averse. *Journal of Media Economics* 13 (2000) 249-259.

---

particular, to Gabszewicz et al. (2002). The same steps apply here if we substitute  $k$  for  $\tilde{k}$  (thus allowing for the possibility that  $\gamma \neq 0$ ).