Working Paper No 14/07

Another Tale of Two-Sided Markets

by Hans Jarle Kind Frank Stähler

SNF Project no 1410 "Forretningsstrategier og regulering"

THE ECONOMICS OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS

This report is one of a series of papers and reports on telecommunication economics published by the Institute for Research in Economics and Business Administration (SNF) as part of its telecommunication economics program. The main focus of the research program is to study the deregulation process of the telecommunication industry, and the economic and organizational consequences of changes in markets, technology and regulation. The program is funded by Telenor AS.

INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN ECONOMICS AND BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION BERGEN, JUNE 2007
ISSN 1503-2140

© Dette eksemplar er fremstilt etter avtale med KOPINOR, Stenergate 1, 0050 Oslo. Ytterligere eksemplarfremstilling uten avtale og i strid med åndsverkloven er straffbart og kan medføre erstatningsansvar.

Another Tale of Two-Sided Markets

Hans Jarle Kind* Frank Stähler[†]

June 2007

Abstract

This note generalizes the frequently used Hotelling model for two-sided markets. We demonstrate an invariance theorem: advertisement levels neither depend on the media price nor on the location of the media rm. An increase in advertising revenues does not change location but only the media price. In conclusion, a Hotelling model of two-sided markets is equivalent to one of one-sided markets.

JEL-Classi cation: D43, L82.

Keywords: Hotelling, general density function, media, location.

1 Introduction

Recent years have seen a huge increase in the literature on two-sided markets (e.g., Armstrong, 2006, and Rochet and Tirole, 2003, 2006). Inspired by media markets, many papers have used a Hotelling model of location, media prices and advertising. However, most of these paper make very speci-c assumptions about competition for advertising and about consumer heterogeneity. In particular, it is typically assumed that consumers are uniformly distributed along the Hotelling line.¹ This tends to oversimplify the analysis

^{*}Norwegian School of Economics and Business Administration, Bergen, Norway. email: hans.kind@nhh.no.

 $^{^\}dagger Department$ of Economics, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin 9001, New Zealand, email: fstaehler@business.otago.ac.nz.

¹See, for instance, Anderson and Coate (2005), Crampes, Haritchabalet and Jullien (2005), Gabszewicz, Laussel and Sonnac (2001, 2002) and Peitz and Valletti (2004).

of location decisions, characteristically resulting in maximum or minimum differentiation, depending on the set-up of the model.

This note tries to make progress on our understanding of media rms' location by relaxing on the assumption that consumers are uniformly distributed. Moreover, we do not make any speci-c assumption about the type of competition in the advertisement market. Media rms can compete by prices or by ad space, and we allow for both single-homing and multi-homing.

Within this set-up we show that competition on locations does not necessarily result in maximum or minimum differentiation. More surprisingly, we not that a generalized Hotelling model of two-sided markets is not much different from that of one-sided markets if pro-t functions are well-behaved and if solutions are interior. Our invariance theorem shows that advertisement policies can be determined independently from media prices and location decisions. Advertisement revenue works like a reduction in marginal cost of the media product, and the decision on prices and location is similar to Anderson et al (1997). The following section develops these results, and the nal section concludes.

2 The model

We employ a Hotelling model with two competing media rms, i = 1, 2. Media rm i charges price p_i and is located at x_i . Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_2 \geq x_1$. The media rms also sell advertising space to producers, and the resulting advertising level is given by a_i . The media consumers may either have a negative or a positive attitude towards ads, and the net utility level of a consumer located at x who buys media product i is given by $U = v - p_i - t(x - x_i)^2 - d(a_i)$. With this speci cation the consumers perceive ads as a bad if $d(a_i) < 0$ and as a good if $d(a_i) > 0$. The constant v > 0 is assumed to be sufficiently large to ensure market coverage.

Denoting the consumer who is indifferent between buying media products 1 and 2 by \tilde{x} , we -nd

²See Depken II and Wilson (2004) and Sonnac (2000) for a discussion of whether magazine/newspaper readers consider advertising as a good or a bad.

$$\widetilde{x} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_1 + x_2 + \frac{p_2 - p_1 + d(a_2) - d(a_1)}{t(x_2 - x_1)} \right). \tag{1}$$

Consumers located to the left of \tilde{x} buy media product 1, while consumers to the right of \tilde{x} buy media product 2.

The consumers are continuously distributed on $-\infty \le a < b \le \infty$, and the cumulative distribution is denoted by F(x). We normalize the population size to one, and the density function f(x) = F'(x) is assumed to be log-concave on [a,b] and twice differentiable. The marginal costs of producing the media product equal c, and for simplicity we let the marginal costs of inserting ads be zero, so that the pro-t functions of the two media rms read as

$$\Pi_{1} = F(\widetilde{x})(p_{1} - c + A_{1}(\cdot)),
\Pi_{2} = (1 - F(\widetilde{x}))(p_{2} - c + A_{2}(\cdot)),$$
(2)

where A_i is advertising revenue per consumer. As usual in the literature, aggregate ad revenues depend linearly on the number of media consumers. Otherwise, the model is very general. We allow for both single-homing and multi-homing, and assume that ad revenues per consumer depend on the strategic variables s_1 and s_2 , such that $A_i = A_i(s_1, s_2)$. Advertisement levels are also a function of these strategic variables, such that $a_i = a_i(s_1, s_2)$. In a simple Cournot setting we have $s_i = a_i$. But the model also allows for price competition on the ad-market, *i.e.* it can accommodate competition in strategic substitutes as well as strategic complements.

In the following we consider a two-stage game, where the media rms choose locations before they simultaneously compete for consumers and advertising revenue (setting p_i and s_i , respectively). We assume that the prot functions (2) are quasi-concave in p_i and s_i , and that solutions are interior.³ Thereby, we can use the rst-order conditions to determine optimal prices and advertising strategies.

³In one-sided markets, log-concavity of f(x) guarantees that the sufficient conditions w.r.t. p_i are ful lled (see Anderson *et al*, 1997). However, this condition is not sufficient in the setting here.

As for prices we nd that

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_1}{\partial p_1} = F(\tilde{x}) + (p_1 - c + A_1) f(\tilde{x}) \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p_1} = 0,
\frac{\partial \Pi_2}{\partial p_2} = [1 - F(\tilde{x})] + (p_2 - c + A_2) f(\tilde{x}) \left(-\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial p_2} \right) = 0.$$
(3)

From equation (1), we derive

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial p_1} = -\frac{1}{2t(x_2 - x_1)} \text{ and } \frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial p_2} = \frac{1}{2t(x_2 - x_1)}.$$
 (4)

The rst-order conditions for advertisement strategies are given by

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_1}{\partial s_1} = F(\widetilde{x}) \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial s_1} + (p_1 - c + A_1) f(\widetilde{x}) \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial a_1} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial s_1} + \frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial a_2} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial s_1} \right) = 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_2}{\partial s_2} = [1 - F(\widetilde{x})] \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial s_2} - (p_2 - c + A_2) f(\widetilde{x}) \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial a_2} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial s_2} + \frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial a_1} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial s_2} \right) = 0.$$

Note carefully that each media rm takes into account the fact that any change in its ad policy may also affect the resulting ad level of the other media rm.⁴ We can now show:

Proposition 1 Advertisement levels depend only on the marginal disutility of adverts and not on the media price, the location of the media rms or the size of the market.

Proof: By inserting for $(p_i - c + A_i)f(\tilde{x})$ from (3) into (5) we have

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_1}{\partial s_1} = F(\widetilde{x}) \left[\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial s_1} - \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial p_1} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial a_1} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial s_1} + \frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial a_2} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial s_1} \right) \right], \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_2}{\partial s_2} = \left[1 - F(\widetilde{x}) \right] \left[\frac{\partial A_2}{\partial s_2} + \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial p_2} \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial a_2} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial s_2} + \frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial a_1} \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial s_2} \right) \right].$$

Equations (1) and (4) further yield (for $i \neq j$)

$$\frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial a_i} \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial s_i} + \frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial a_j} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial s_i} = \frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial p_i} \left(d'(a_i) \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial s_i} - d'(a_j) \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial s_i} \right). \tag{7}$$

⁴If media rms are monopolists in their ad markets, $\partial a_2/\partial s_1 = \partial a_1/\partial s_2 = 0$, but this will not be the case if they compete in the ad market.

In equilibrium, $\partial \Pi_1/\partial s_1 = \partial \Pi_2/\partial s_2 = 0$. Equations (6) and (7) thus imply

$$\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial s_1} - d'(a_1) \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial s_1} + d'(a_2) \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial s_1} = 0,
\frac{\partial A_2}{\partial s_2} - d'(a_2) \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial s_2} + d'(a_1) \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial s_2} = 0.$$
(8)

Expression (8) implicitly determines the advertising level as a function of the marginal disutility of ads and the ad revenue function. Even though the media $\,$ rm with the larger market share has the higher total revenue from ads, the ad revenue per consumer is thus independent of the market size and the media price. Interestingly, Proposition 1 holds even if - for whatever reason - the ad-revenue functions differ across media $\,$ rms. \square

Proposition 1 demonstrates that only the ad revenue functions and the (dis-)utility of ads determine equilibrium ad levels per consumer in a generalized Hotelling model of two-sided markets. The distribution plays no role for this exercise.

Assume that the ad-revenue functions are symmetric, and let the common equilibrium advertisement revenue per media consumer be denoted by \widehat{A} . Using (3) and (4), we nd that

$$p_{1} = 2t(x_{2} - x_{1})\frac{F(\widetilde{x})}{f(\widetilde{x})} + c - \widehat{A},$$

$$p_{2} = 2t(x_{2} - x_{1})\frac{1 - F(\widetilde{x})}{f(\widetilde{x})} + c - \widehat{A},$$

$$(9)$$

which is an expression similar to the general Hotelling model of one-sided markets of Anderson *et al* (1997). Furthermore,

$$p_2 - p_1 = 2t(x_2 - x_1) \frac{1 - 2F(\widetilde{x})}{f(\widetilde{x})},$$
 (10)

which shows that $p_2 > p_1$ if $F(\tilde{x}) < 1/2$ and vice versa. Expression (10) shows that the media rm with the larger market share charges the higher media price. The intuition can most easily be seen from equation (3): the marginal gain from increasing the media price is proportional to the market

size. The marginal loss, on the other hand, is independent of the market size (but larger the smaller the distance between the media rms and the lower the transport costs). Note also from (9) that media prices are decreasing in ad revenue per media consumer, \widehat{A} . The reason for this is that an increase in advertisement revenues per viewer is like a reduction in marginal cost.

As in Anderson $et\ al\ (1997)$ we can now write pro ts as a function of locations only:⁵

$$\widehat{\Pi}_{1} = 2t(x_{2} - x_{1}) \frac{F(\widetilde{x})^{2}}{f(\widetilde{x})},$$

$$\widehat{\Pi}_{2} = 2t(x_{2} - x_{1}) \frac{(1 - F(\widetilde{x}))^{2}}{f(\widetilde{x})}.$$

$$(11)$$

Let y denote the median consumer such that F(y) = 0.5. We are now able to demonstrate

Proposition 2 If pro t functions (11) are quasi-concave, rm 1 has a higher market share than rm 2 if f'(y) < 0, and a smaller market share if f'(y) > 0.

Proof: We can write the location as an implicit function (see (1)):

$$g(\cdot) = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{2} + \frac{1 - 2F(\widetilde{x})}{f(\widetilde{x})} = 0$$

because $a_1 = a_2$ and thus $d(a_2) - d(a_1) = 0$. Partial differentiation yields

$$g_{\widetilde{x}} = -\frac{3f^2 + f'(1 - 2F)}{f^2}, g_{x_1} = g_{x_2} = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial \widetilde{x}}{\partial x_2} = \frac{f^2}{6f^2 + 2f'(1 - 2F)}.$$

Marginal pro ts with respect to locations can consequently be written as:

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} = -\frac{2tF^{2}}{f} + \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{2t(x_{2} - x_{1})F(2f^{2} - f'F)}{f^{2}}, \qquad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} = \frac{2t(1 - F)^{2}}{f} - \frac{\partial x}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{2t(x_{2} - x_{1})(1 - F)(2f^{2} + f'(1 - F))}{f^{2}}.$$

⁵For uniqueness and existence in the location game, see Assumptions 1 and 2 in Anderson *et al* (1997).

Logconcavity of f(x) implies $\partial \widetilde{x}/\partial x_1 = \partial \widetilde{x}/\partial x_2 > 0$ (see Anderson *et al* (1997), p. 107) and $2f^2 - f'F > 0$, $2f^2 - f'(1 - F) > 0$. An interior solution to (12) thus satis es $x_1^* > a$ and $x_2^* < b$. Let us evaluate the marginal protts if both rms choose locations such that the median consumer is the indifferent consumer, *i.e.* if $\widetilde{x} = y$. Define

$$D \equiv 2t(x_2 - x_1) \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x_i} > 0, \Phi \equiv -\frac{t}{2f(y)} + D.$$

Since $\partial \widetilde{x}/\partial x_1 = \partial \widetilde{x}/\partial x_2$, marginal pro ts for $\widetilde{x} = y$ are equal to

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_1}{\partial x_1} (\widetilde{x} = y) = \Phi - \frac{f'(y)D}{2f^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_2}{\partial x_2} (\widetilde{x} = y) = -\Phi - \frac{f'(y)D}{2f^2}.$$
(13)

Suppose that rm 1 has chosen x_1 such that its prots are maximized and rm 2 has set x_2 such that $\tilde{x} = y$ holds. From (13), it follows

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_1}{\partial x_1}(\widetilde{x} = y) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \widehat{\Pi}_2}{\partial x_2}(\widetilde{x} = y) = -\frac{f'(y)D}{f^2}.$$

Hence, rm 2's marginal pro ts are positive if f'(y) < 0, and negative if f'(y) > 0. Consequently, rm 2 will increase x_2 if f'(y) < 0, thereby increasing rm 1's market share, and vice versa. \square

Proposition 2 shows that asymmetric distributions lead to asymmetric market sizes. If f'(y) is negative (positive), rm 2, located on the right, will have a lower (higher) market share. The reason is that the location decision affects the behavior of the marginal consumer only. If f'(y) is negative (positive), the distribution is skewed at the median consumer such that rm 2 gains by moving to the right (left).

3 Concluding remarks

Our note has demonstrated that a generalized Hotelling model of two-sided markets behaves like a standard Hotelling model in which ad revenues just reduce marginal production costs. It is therefore not the principle of maximum or minimum differentiation which decouples the ad policy from the pricing decision, but the very nature of the Hotelling model. This result relies on two assumptions. First, overall revenues depend linearly on the number of consumers. If they do not, the invariance result does not hold. However, any alternative assumption has to explain why an additional media consumer has a different impact on marginal ad revenue than the existing ones. Second, we have assumed interior solutions for media prices. Obviously, results will change if ad revenues are so large that at least one media rm would like to sell its product for free.⁶

References

- [1] Anderson, P.S. and S. Coate (2005), Market Provision of Broadcasting: A Welfare Analysis, Review of Economic Studies, 72, 947-972.
- [2] Anderson, S.P., Goeree, J.K., Ramer, R. (1997), Location, Location, Location, Journal of Economic Theory, 77: 102 127.
- [3] Armstrong, M. (2006), Competition in Two-Sided Markets, Rand Journal of Economics, 37(3), 668-681.
- [4] Crampes, C., C. Haritchabalet and B. Jullien (2005), Advertising, Competition and Entry in Media Industries, CESifo Working Paper No. 1591, Munich.
- [5] Depken II, C. A. and D. P. Wilson (2004), Is Advertising Good or Bad? Evidence from U.S. Magazine Subscriptions, *Journal of Business*, 77, S61-S80.
- [6] Gabszewicz, J.J., D. Laussel and N. Sonnac, (2001), Does Press Advertising Foster the "Pensee Unique"? European Economic Review 45, 645-651.

⁶More precisely, the qualitative results may change with zero consumer payments if the media rms cannot subsidize their consumers. Note, however, that newspapers may contain discount vouchers, and that TV and radio stations may organize raffles such that the expected consumer price is negative. In this case, our invariance result still holds true.

- [7] Gabszewicz, J.J., D. Laussel, and N. Sonnac, (2002), Press Advertising and the Political Differentiation of Newspapers, *Journal of Public Economic Theory* 4, 317-334.
- [8] Peitz, M. and T. M. Valletti, (2004), Content and Advertising in the Media: Pay-TV versus Free-To-Air, CEPR Discussion Paper 4771.
- [9] Rochet, J.C. and J. Tirole (2003), Platform Competition in Two-Sided Markets, *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 1, 990-1029.
- [10] Rochet, J.C. and J. Tirole (2006), Two-Sided Markets: A Progress Report, Rand Journal of Economics, 37(3), 645-667.
- [11] Sonnac, N. (2000), Readers' Attitudes Towards Press Advertising: Are They Ad-Lovers or Ad-Averse, Journal of Media Economics 13(4), 249-259

PUBLIKASJONER INNEN TELEØKONOMI 1998 –

Hans Jarle Kind Another Tale of Two-Sided Markets Frank Stähler Snf Working Paper No 14/07 Bergen

Øystein Foros Price-dependent profit sharing as an escape from

Kåre P. Hagen the Bertrand paradox

Hans Jarle Kind SNF Working Paper No. 62/06 Bergen

Iver Bragelien How centralisation fostered local initiative in EDB4tel:

Jan Ivar Stemsrudhagen A case study meets economic theory

SNF Working Paper No. 68/04 Bergen.

Sarka Dittrichova Analyse av mulige årsaker til høye Søderstrøm internasjonale roamingpriser

internasjonale roamingpriser SNF rapport nr. 22/06 Bergen.

Lars Vatnaland Priskommunikasjon i norske aviser

En økonomisk og konkurranserettslig analyse

SNF rapport nr. 19/06 Bergen.

Marius Aabø Meglerhusene på Oslo Børs

Analytikeres informasjonsmiljø og egenskaper ved

analytikeres resultatestimater SNF rapport nr. 10/06 Bergen.

Kristin Anita Gaasøy Strategisk bruk av insentiver ved lederavlønning

Sammenhengen mellom teoretiske bidrag og

Praksis i Telenor Mobil

SNF rapport nr. 36/05 (Konfidensiell til okt. 2007)

Kenneth Fjell Endogenous Average Cost Based Access Pricing

Øystein Foros SNF Working Paper No. 75/05 Bergen.

Debashis Pal

Trond Bjørnenak Taking ABC to court – a research note on cost oriented

Kenneth Fjell access prices in telecom

SNF Working Paper No. 74/05 Bergen.

Jan Y. Sand Efficiency in complementary partnerships with competition

SNF Working Paper No. 73/05 Bergen.

Kenneth Fjell Access price regulation facilitates strategic transfer pricing

Øystein Foros SNF Working Paper No. 60/05 Bergen.

Morten Furholm Pettersen Realopsjoner – verdsettelse av informasjons- og

kommunikasjonsteknologi

SNF rapport nr. 14/2005, Bergen

Per E. Pedersen Leif B. Methlie Leif Gressgård Herbjørn Nysveen Helge Torbjørnsen	An explanatory study of the relationships between mobile data services business models and customer value SNF Report No. 13/2005, Bergen
Tor Olav Gabrielsen Eivind Thorsteinsen	Identifisering av realopsjonselementer innen UMTS markedet og irreversible investeringer under asymmetrisk duopol SNF-rapport nr. 12/2005, Bergen
Jan Yngve Sand	Input price discrimination with heterogenous sub-markets SNF-Working Paper No. 69/2004, Bergen
Mette Bjørndal Kurt Jörnsten	Cost Allocation and Pricing in a Supply Chain An Application of Aumann-Shapley Prices SNF-Working Paper No. 58/2004, Bergen
Andre Berg Edvardsen	Børsprising og –avkastning for norske IKT-selskaper SNF-rapport nr. 16/2004, Bergen
Kåre P. Hagen Bjørn Hansen Steinar Vagstad	Synergies and non-discriminatory access pricing SNF-Working Paper No. 50/2004, Bergen
Øystein Gjerde Kjell Henry Knivsflå Frode Sættem	The value relevance of financial reporting on the Oslo Stock Exchange SNF-Working Paper No. 50/2003, Bergen
Leif Jarle Gressgård Inger Stensaker	Future Mobile Internet Services: Business Model Scenarios SNF-Report No. 08/2004, Bergen
Øystein Foros Hans Jarle Kind Jan Yngve Sand	Do Internet Incumbents Choose Low Interconnection Quality? SNF-Working paper No. 20/2004, Bergen
Tommy S. Gabrielsen Steinar Vagstad	Why is on-net traffic cheaper than off-nett traffic? Access markup as a collusive device and a barrier to entry SNF-Working paper No. 69/2003, Bergen
Mette Bjørndal Kurt Jørnsten	Pricing in Iterative Combinatorial Auctions SNF-Working paper No. 68/2003, Bergen
Herbjørn Nysveen Helge Thorbjørnsen Per E. Pedersen	Effects of WebTV on Consumer-Brand Relationships SNF-Working paper No. 45/2003, Bergen

Leif Jarle Gressgård Mobile Internet Services:

Leif B. Methlie Integration Models and Structural Determinants

Inger Stensaker SNF-Report No. 36/2003, Bergen

Hagen, Kåre P. Telepolitikk i Europa

Ola Nafstad SNF-rapport nr. 27/2003, Bergen

Herbjørn Nysveen The case of multimedia messaging services
Helge Thorbjørnsen SNF-Working paper No. 26/2003, Bergen

Herbjørn Nysveen

Per E. Pedersen

Helge Thorbjørnsen

Using mobile services to strengthen brand relationships:

The effects of SMS and MMS channel additions on brand knowledge, satisfaction, loyalty and main channel use.

SNF-Report No. 22/2003, Bergen

Stemsrudhagen, Jan Ivar The structure of balanced scorecard:

Empirical evidence from Norway.

SNF-Working paper No. 24/2003, Bergen

Stemsrudhagen, Jan Ivar The balanced scorecard and leadership:

The system's (ir)relevance in an uncertain world.

SNF-Working paper No. 23/2003, Bergen

Spiller, Pablo T. Why Local Loop Unbundling Fails?

Ulset, Svein SNF-Working paper No. 87/2002, Bergen

Ulset, Svein The Rise and Fall of Global Network Alliances.

Success or Failure?

SNF-Working paper No. 86/2002, Bergen

Ulset, Svein The Disintegration of Network Externalities Industries. The

Computer and the Telecommunications Equipment Industries.

SNF-Working paper No. 85/2002, Bergen

Ulset, Svein Restructuring Diversified Telecom Operators.

SNF-Report No. 57/2002, Bergen

Ulset, Svein Corporate versus Contractual Knowledge Transfer to Foreign

Operations. The Case of Mobile Network Operators.

SNF-Report No. 56/2002, Bergen

Andersson, Kjetil Are TV-viewers and surfers different breeds?

Fjell, Kenneth Broadband demand and asymmetric cross-price effects.

Foros, Øystein SNF-Working paper No. 14/2003, Bergen

Stemsrudhagen, Jan Ivar The causality of strategic control:

three-level construction of causality. SNF-Working paper No. 77/2002, Bergen

Barros, Pedro *Media competition when the audience dislikes advertising:* Kind, Hans Jarle A theory of vertical alliance on the Internet. Nilssen, Tore SNF-Working paper No. 79/2002, Bergen. Sørgard, Lars Pedersen, Per E. The adoption of a mobile parking service: Instrumentality and Nysveen, Herbjørn expressiveness. SNF-Working paper No. 76/2002, Bergen. Foros, Øystein Økonomiske aspekter ved Internetts markedsstruktur. Kind, Hans Jarle SNF-arbeidsnotat nr. 74/2002, Bergen. Nysveen, Herbjørn *Individual and cross media communication in converging* Pedersen, Per E. media environments: A review of research on the antecedents and effects of communication using various media in marketing contexts. SNF-Working paper No. 65/2002, Bergen Pedersen, Per E. The adoption of mobile services: A cross service study. SNF-Report No. 31/2002, Bergen Nysveen, Herbjørn Thorbjørnsen, Helge Jensen, Sissel Prisregulering av et telenettverk: Prinsipiell analyse med Sannarnes, Jan Gaute særlig fokus på avkastnings- og pristaksregulering. SNF-Report No. 37/2002, Bergen Creative SMC in a Norwegian ICT company: managerial Stemsrudhagen, Jan Ivar interaction with sources of realised strategies. SNF-Report No. 26/2002, Bergen Stemsrudhagen, Jan Ivar Strategic control systems in action: managers' use of information devices in creational and institutionalising work. SNF-Working paper No. 38/2002, Bergen Pedersen, Per E. The adoption of text messaging services among Norwegian teens: development and test of an extended adoption model. SNF-Report No. 23/2002, Bergen. Foros, Øystein

Do Incumbents Have Incentives to Degrade Interconnection

Kind, Hans Jarle *Quality in the Internet?*

SNF-Working paper No. 22/2002, Bergen. Sand, Jan Yngve

Fjell, Kenneth *Elasticity based pricing rules in telecommunications*

- a cautionary note

SNF-Working paper No. 19/2002, Bergen.

Kristoffersen, Siri Hege Prising og uforstand – ein analyse av prissetjing med

ufullstendig informerte konsumentar i den norske marknaden

for mobiltelefoni

SNF-rapport nr. 54/2001, Bergen.

Pedersen, Per E. Adoption of mobile commerce: An exploratory analysis

SNF-Report No. 51/2001, Bergen.

Gabrielsen, Tommy Stahl

Vagstad, Steinar

On how size and composition of customer bases affect

equilibrium in a duopoly with switching cost SNF-Working paper No. 26/2001, Bergen.

Berge, Laila Kristin Prisdiskriminering i oligopol

-en teoretisk gjennomgang og eksempler fra telemarkedet

SNF-rapport nr. 13/2001, Bergen.

Bjørndal, Mette Jørnsten, Kurt An Analysis of a Combinatorial Auction. SNF-Working paper No. 19/2001, Bergen.

Vagstad, Steinar Price discrimination with uncertain consumers.

SNF-Working Paper No. 12/2001, Bergen.

Gooderham, Paul N.

Ulset, Svein

Knowledge transfer between parent and developing country subsidiaries. A Conceptual Framework. SNF-Working Paper No. 08/2001, Bergen.

Staahl Gabrielsen, Tommy

Vagstad, Steinar

Second-period pricing in a duopoly with switching costs: the effect of size and composition of customer bases.

SNF-Working Paper No. 09/2001, Bergen.

Pedersen, Per E. Adopsjon av mobil handel (m-handel)

-en forstudie

SNF-rapport nr. 07/2001, Bergen.

Hundsnes, Tore The Management of Complexity

SNF-Report No. 58/2000, Bergen.

Knivsflå, Kjell Henry

Rud, Linda Sættem, Frode Kapitalnettverk for små og mellomstore bedrifter

SNF-rapport nr. 72/2000, Bergen.

Foros, Øystein Strategic Investments with Spillovers, Vertical Integration and

Foreclosure in the Broadband Access Market SNF-Working Paper No 75/2000, Bergen

Lommerud, Kjell Erik

Sørgard, Lars

Entry in Telecommunication: Customer Loyalty, Price

Sensitivity and Access Prices.

SNF-Working Paper No. 62/2000, Bergen.

Munkeby, Trygve Osvoll Konkurransen mellom norske internetleverandørar.

SNF-rapport nr. 48/2000, Bergen.

Pedersen, Per E. *Tjenesteintegrering i elektronisk handel.*

Methlie, Leif B. SNF-rapport nr. 21/2000, Bergen.

Methlie, Leif B. MAP-IT: A Model of intermediary Integration Strategies in

Pedersen, Per E. online Markets.

SNF-Working Paper No. 26/2000, Bergen.

Gabrielsen, Tommy Staahl

Vagstad, Steinar

Consumer heterogeneity and pricing in a duopoly with

switching costs.

SNF-Working Paper No. 25/2000, Bergen.

Ulset, Svein Internasjonalisering av telesektoren. Generelle lærdommer og

Gooderham, Paul spesielle utfordringer for Telenor.

SNF-arbeidsnotat nr. 16/2000, Bergen.

Ulset, Svein Virtual Network Operation. Strategy, Structure and

Profitability.

SNF-Working Paper No. 15/2000, Bergen.

Foros, Øystein Access Pricing, Quality Degradation and Foreclosure in the

Kind, Hans Jarle Internet.

Sørgard, Lars SNF arbeidsnotat nr. 12/2000, Bergen.

Foros, Øystein Asymmetrisk regulering innen telekommunikasjon.

Sand, Jan Yngve SNF særtrykk nr. 03/2000, Bergen.

Ulset, Svein *Ekspansive teleselskap. Finansiering, organisering og styring.*

SNF-rapport nr. 64/1999, Bergen.

Sannarnes, Jan Gaute Ulike reguleringsregimer i telesektoren sett ut fra et dynamisk

perspektiv.

SNF-rapport nr. 58/1999, Bergen.

Seime, Gunn Randi Konkurransen i det norske mobiltelefonimarkedet.

SNF-rapport nr. 49/1999, Bergen.

Methlie, Leif B. *Multimedia Banking*

Pedersen, Per E. Bankenes strategiske situasjon. Ny teknologi –

ny konkurransearena – ny struktur. SNF-rapport nr. 41/1999, Bergen.

Pedersen, Per E. Multimedia Banking

Programvareagenter i elektronisk handel. En kartlegging med

vekt på agentbaserte tjenester og finanstjenestesektoren.

SNF-rapport nr. 40/1999, Bergen.

Pedersen, Per E. *Multimedia Banking*

En agentbasert tjeneste for produkt- og leverandør-

sammenlikning av finanstjenester. SNF-rapport nr. 39/1999, Bergen.

Pedersen, Per E. *Multimedia Banking*

Nysveen, Herbjørn En eksperimentell studie av atferdskonsekvenser ved bruken

Jensen, Leif Magnus av agentbaserte tjenester i finanssektoren.

SNF-rapport nr. 38/1999, Bergen.

Fjell, Kenneth Problemstillinger for videre forskning på prising av tele-

Foros, Øystein tjenester.

Gabrielsen, Tommy S. SNF-rapport nr. 27/1999, Bergen.

Hagen, Kåre P. Sørgard, Lars Vagstad, Steinar

Fjell, Kenneth Oversikt over forskningsprogrammet i teleøkonomi ved SNF:

Hagen, Kåre P. 1996-1998.

SNF-rapport nr. 26/1999, Bergen.

Fjell, Kenneth Telenor – bare lave priser?

Foros, Øystein Drøfting av Telenors rabattstruktur utfra et bedriftsøkonomisk

Hagen, Kåre P. og samfunnsøkonomisk perspektiv. Sørgard, Lars SNF-rapport nr. 23/1999, Bergen.

Staahl Gabrielsen, Tommy Konkurranseform i telesektoren: Hvordan rasjonalisere

Vagstad, Steinar observert atferd?

SNF-rapport nr. 65/1998, Bergen.

Altenborg, Ellen Koordinering og insentiver i samarbeid om produktutvikling

mellom forretningsområder i Telenor.

SNF-rapport nr. 39/98, Bergen

Methlie, Leif Multimedia Banking

Strukturendring i bank. Distribusjon – grovanalyse.

SNF-arbeidsnotat nr. 31/1998, Bergen.

Methlie, Leif Multimedia Banking

Strukturendring i bank. Strategisk posisjonering –

grovanalyse.

SNF-arbeidsnotat nr. 30/1998, Bergen.

Foros, Øystein *Naturlige grenser for teleselskapene.*

Ulset, Svein SNF populærvitenskapelig særtrykk nr. 10/1998, Bergen.

Ulset, Svein Organizing Global Seamless Networks: Contracts, Alliances

Spiller, Pablo and Hierarchies.

SNF Reprint No. 26/1998, Bergen.

Ulset, Svein *Infrastruktur og konkurranse i telesektoren.*

SNF særtrykk nr. 27/1998, Bergen.

Ulset, Svein Value-Creating Interconnect

International Competition in Global Network Services.

Technology, Organization and Performances.

SNF-report No. 28/1998, Bergen.

Ulset, Svein Value-Creating Interconnect

Optimal Organization of the Converging Information and

Communication Technology (ICT) Industries.

Theoretical analysis and some illustrative data from the

Norwegian market.

SNF-report No. 27/1998, Bergen.

Methlie, Leif B. Multimedia Banking

Nysveen, Herbjørn Kundeatferd ved bruk av Internett og andre kanaler.

SNF-rapport nr. 29/1998, Bergen.

Ulset, Svein *Verdiskapende samtrafikkavtaler.*

Hvordan kan organisering av infrastruktur bidra til utvikling av bedre og billigere teletjenester. En analyse av betingelsene

for konkurranse og samarbeid i telesektoren.

SNF-rapport nr. 25/1998, Bergen.

Spiller, Pablo T. *Value-Creating Interconnect*.

Unbundling and the Promotion of Local Telephone Competition: Is Unbundling Necessary in Norway?

SNF-Report No. 03/1998, Bergen.

Bjørnenak, Trond Verdiskapende samtrafikkavtaler.

Gabrielsen, Tommy Staahl Prising av samtrafikk.

Vagstad, Steinar SNF- rapport nr. 02/1998, Bergen.

Andersen, Christian Analyse af tilgangsafgifter ved irreversible investeringer under

Sannarnes, Jan Gaute usikkerhed.

SNF-rapport nr. 07/1998, Bergen.

Oversikt over publikasjoner innen teleøkonomi i perioden 1992-1997 fås ved henvendelse til eli.loetvedt@snf.no, telefon 55 95 95 00.